

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,748	01/12/2001	David L. Benson	P04809US0 PHI 1322	4873
27310	7590 07/03/2002			
PIONEER H	I-BRED INTERNATIO	EXAMINER		
7100 N.W. 62ND AVENUE P.O. BOX 1000			MEHTA, ASHWIN D	
JOHNSTON, IA 50131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/759,748	BENSON, DAVID L.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ashwin Mehta	1638			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reduced period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b).	. 136(a) In no event, however, mileply within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication he ABANDONED (35 U S C § 133)			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	? January 2001 .				
2a)□		his action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[\]	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)[disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a)	☐ All_b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).			
14) 🗍 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	a) The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •				
Attachmen						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to for containing blank lines, on page 7 in the bottom paragraph.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 6, 12, 16, 25, and 29 are objected to. In line 1 of the claims, "A" should be -- The-

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,107,551 ('551). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

Art Unit: 1638

they both appear to be drawn to the same maize seeds, plants, plant parts and methods. The instantly claimed plants and the patented plants have different designations. The designation "33R77" of the instantly claimed cultivar is arbitrarily assigned, and does not provide any patentable distinction from the cultivar claimed in '551, "33Y11." Any differences between 33R77 and 33Y11 are due to minor morphological variations that do not confer patentable distinction. The instantly claimed maize plants that have as at least one ancestor 33R77, or 33R77 containing one or more transgenes, or 33R77 containing one or more genes transferred by backcrossing would then be encompassed by the patented maize plants that have as at least one ancestor 33Y11, or 33Y11 containing one or more transgenes, or 33Y11 containing one or more genes transferred by backcrossing. As 33R77 and 33Y11 are not patentably distinct, the claimed methods that comprise their use are obviously the same as well. A patent issuing from the instant application would then effectively extend the patent term of the claims of '551.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation "33R77" in claims 1, 5, 7, 11, 15, 19, 24, 28, and 32 render the claims and those dependent thereon indefinite. Since the name "33R77" is not known in the art, the use of

Art Unit: 1638

said name does not carry art-recognized limitations as to the specific or essential characteristics that are associated with that denomination. The name "33R77" does not clearly identify the claimed seeds, plants, and plant parts, and does not set forth the metes and bounds of the claimed invention. The name appears to have been arbitrarily assigned and the specific characteristics associated therewith could be modified. The missing ATCC accession number in claims 1, 5, and 7 similarly also renders the claims indefinite, as the claims do not clearly identify the deposited seed. Amending claims 1, 5, and 7 to recite the ATCC deposit number in which hybrid maize seed 33R77 has been deposited would overcome the rejection.

In claims 11, 15, 19, 24, 28, and 32: the terms "high," "above average," and "suited" are relative terms that have no definite meaning. The terms do not reasonably apprise one of the scope of the invention. The recitation "high yield for its maturity" also renders the claims indefinite. It is not clear what type of yield, grain yield, pollen yield, seed yield, etc, the recitation is referring to. The recitation "Central Corn Belt, Southeast, Southcentral, Southwest, and Western regions of the United States" also renders the claims indefinite. It is not exactly clear what states or geographic areas make up these regions.

In claims 10, 14, 18, 23, 27, and 31: the claims are indefinite for improper antecedent basis. The claims indicate that they are directed to the corn plant breeding program of claims 9, 13, 17, 22, 26, and 30, respectively. However, claims 9, 13, 17, 22, 26, and 30 are directed to methods, not programs. It is suggested that the recitation "corn plant breeding program" in line 1 of claims 10, 14, 18, 23, 27, and 31 be replaced with --method--.

Art Unit: 1638

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 8, 11-19, 21, and 24-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims are broadly drawn towards a corn plant produced by growing seed of any hybrid maize seed designated 33R77, wherein said plant is male sterile; or any maize plant or its parts wherein at least one ancestor is 33R77 and expresses a combination of at least two 33R77 traits; or a hybrid maize plant grown from seed of 33R77, or which has all the morphological and physiological traits as the plant grown from 33R77 seed, and which contains one or more transgenes; or a method for developing a maize plant in a maize plant breeding program comprising said hybrid maize plant comprising one or more transgenes; or any maize plant wherein at least one ancestor is the hybrid maize plant comprising one or more transgenes and which expresses at least 2 33R77 traits; or a hybrid maize plant grown from 33R77 seed wherein the genetic material contains one or more genes transferred by backcrossing; or a method for developing a maize plant in a maize plant breeding program comprising said hybrid maize plant comprising one or more genes transferred by backcrossing; or any maize plant wherein at least one ancestor is the hybrid maize plant comprising one or more genes transferred by backcrossing and which expresses at least 2 33R77 traits.

Art Unit: 1638

The specification describes morphological and physiological traits of a hybrid maize plant grown from hybrid maize seed arbitrarily designated "33R77", which was produced by crossing two inbred lines designated "GE515419" and "GE567914" (page 7, last paragraph; page 17; Table 1, pages 18-20). The specification also describes comparisons of 33R77 to other hybrid plants (pages 21-22, Tables 2A-2E on pages 23-31, page 32, Table 3 on page 33, page 26, first paragraph and Table 4). The specification further indicates that upon allowance of any claims, all restrictions on the availability to a deposit of 2500 seeds of 33R77 with the American Type Culture Collection will be removed, that the deposit will be maintained without restriction, that all requirements of 37 CFR 1.801-1.809 will be met, and that the deposit will follow the requirements of 37 CFR 1.801-1.809 (page 49).

However, the specification does not describe 33R77 as being male sterile. The specification discusses how plants may be manipulated to be male sterile (paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 and the first full paragraph of page 3). However, the morphological and physiological description of plant 33R77 in the specification does not indicate that it is male sterile.

The specification also does not describe the plants developed by the maize breeding programs, transgenic 33R77 plants, 33R77 plants further comprising genes transferred by backcrossing, or maize plants wherein at least one ancestor is corn variety 33R77 and which express at least two of the traits listed in claims 11, 15, 19, 24, 28, or 32. The morphological and physiological traits of the corn plants that are crossed with 33R77, and with progeny of that cross, are unknown, and the description of progeny and descendents of corn plant 33R77 are unknown. The description of corn plant 33R77 is not indicative of any of its descendents. To

Art Unit: 1638

say that a plant expresses two traits of another plant is not sufficient information to describe that plant, as numerous corn plants express at least two of the same traits as those expressed by 33R77. Two plant traits do not provide any description of the other traits of a plant. It is possible that the claimed plants inherited the genes governing those traits from an ancestor other than plant 33R77. For example, Whitaker (U. S. Patent No. 6,107,551) describes a corn plant designated "33Y11," which has a relative maturity of approximately 113 based on the Comparative Relative Maturity Rating System for harvest moisture of grain, and has exceptional stalk lodging resistance (col. 12, lines 3-19), which indicates that its stalk is of above average quality. The instantly claimed corn plants could have 33Y11 as an ancestor, as well as 33R77, in which case the relative maturity and stalk traits, for example, could have been inherited from 33Y11. Further, it is not known what genes of 33R77 would be affected in the claimed methods comprising breeding programs. The traits of the plants produced by the programs are unknown, and not described by the specification. The transgenes, and genes introduced into 33R77 by backcrossing, may be of gene(s) that effect any trait or more than one trait. Such plants would express different morphological and physiological traits from 33R77, and which are not described. Given the breadth of the claims encompassing corn plant 33R77 having male sterility, corn plants expressing at least two traits that are also expressed by 33R77, any transgenic 33R77 plant, any 33R77 plant further having any gene(s) introduced by backcrossing, methods comprising the use of such plants, lack of guidance of the specification as discussed above, the specification fails to provide an adequate written description of the multitude of corn plants and their parts encompassed by the claims.

Art Unit: 1638

6. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claims are broadly drawn towards hybrid maize seed designated "33R77"; a corn plant produced by growing seed of any hybrid maize seed designated 33R77, or parts thereof; or wherein said plant is male sterile; or any maize plant or its parts wherein at least one ancestor is 33R77 and expresses a combination of at least two 33R77 traits; or a hybrid maize plant grown from seed of 33R77, or which has all the morphological and physiological traits as the plant grown from 33R77 seed, and which contains one or more transgenes; or a method for developing a maize plant in a maize plant breeding program comprising said hybrid maize plant comprising one or more transgenes; or any maize plant wherein at least one ancestor is the hybrid maize plant comprising one or more transgenes and which expresses at least 2 33R77 traits; or a hybrid maize plant grown from 33R77 seed wherein the genetic material contains one or more genes transferred by backcrossing; or a method for developing a maize plant in a maize plant breeding program comprising said hybrid maize plant comprising one or more genes transferred by backcrossing; or any maize plant wherein at least one ancestor is the hybrid maize plant comprising one or more genes transferred by backcrossing and which expresses at least 2 33R77 traits.

Since the claimed seed of maize hybrid line 33R77 is essential to the claimed invention, it must be obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification or otherwise be readily available to the public. If the seed is not so obtainable or available, a deposit thereof may satisfy

Art Unit: 1638

the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112. The specification does not disclose a repeatable process to obtain the exact same seed in each occurrence and it is not apparent if such a seed is readily available to the public. Applicant's intent to deposit the seed with the ATCC is acknowledged (page 47).

If the seeds are deposited under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by the applicants, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature and registration number, stating that the seeds will be irrevocably and without restriction or condition released to the public upon the issuance of a patent would satisfy the deposit requirement made herein. A minimum deposit of 2500 seeds is considered sufficient in the ordinary case to assure availability through the period for which a deposit must by maintained.

If the deposit will not be made under the Budapest Treaty, then in order to certify that the deposit meets the criteria set forth in 37 CFR 1.801-1.809, Applicants may provide assurance of compliance by an affidavit or declaration, or by a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature and registration number showing that

- (a) during the pendency of the application, access to the invention will be afforded to the Commissioner upon request;
- (b) all restrictions upon availability to the public will be irrevocably removed upon granting of the patent;
- (c) the deposit will be maintained in a public depository for a period of 30 years or 5 years after the last request or for the enforceable life of the patent, whichever is longer;
- (d) the viability of the biological material at the time of deposit will be tested (see 37 CFR 1.807); and

Art Unit: 1638

(e) the deposit will be replaced if it should ever become inviable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 & 103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Whitaker (U.S. Patent No. 6,107,551).

The claims are broadly drawn towards hybrid maize seed designated "33R77"; a corn plant produced by growing seed of any hybrid maize seed designated 33R77, or parts thereof; or wherein said plant is male sterile; or any maize plant or its parts wherein at least one ancestor is 33R77 and expresses a combination of at least two 33R77 traits; or a hybrid maize plant grown from seed of 33R77, or which has all the morphological and physiological traits as the plant grown from 33R77 seed, and which contains one or more transgenes; or a method for developing

Art Unit: 1638

a maize plant in a maize plant breeding program comprising said hybrid maize plant comprising one or more transgenes; or any maize plant wherein at least one ancestor is the hybrid maize plant comprising one or more transgenes and which expresses at least 2 33R77 traits; or a hybrid maize plant grown from 33R77 seed wherein the genetic material contains one or more genes transferred by backcrossing; or a method for developing a maize plant in a maize plant breeding program comprising said hybrid maize plant comprising one or more genes transferred by backcrossing; or any maize plant wherein at least one ancestor is the hybrid maize plant comprising one or more genes transferred by backcrossing and which expresses at least 2 33R77 traits.

Whitaker teaches seed of a hybrid maize line designated "33Y11," plants produced by growing said seed, and plants and plant parts, including pollen and ovules (col. 12, lines 3-19; Table 1; col. 15, line 50 to col. 18, line 29, Tables 2A-2J; col. 33, lines 3-15; Table 3; claims). It appears that the claimed plants and seeds of the instant invention may be the same as 33Y11, given that they exhibit similar traits, such as a relative maturity of approximately 113 based on the Comparative Relative Maturity Rating System for harvest moisture of grain, and has exceptional stalk lodging resistance (col. 12, lines 3-19), which indicates that its stalk is of above average quality. Alternatively, if the claimed plants, plant parts, and seeds of 33R77 are not identical to 33Y11, then it appears that 33Y11 only differs from the instantly claimed plants, plant parts, and seeds due to minor morphological variation, wherein said minor morphological variation would be expected to occur in different progeny of the same cultivar, and wherein said minor morphological variation would not confer a patentable distinction to 33R77. Whitaker also teaches methods to confer male sterility to corn plants, and assert that large scale

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 09/759,748

Art Unit: 1638

commercial maize hybrid production requires the use of some form of male sterility, and that a reliable method of controlling male fertility in plants also offers the opportunity for improved plant breeding (col. 1, line 53 to col. 2, line 60). It would have been obvious and within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to make plant 33Y11 male sterile, following one of the methods taught by Whitaker. One would have been motivated to make 33Y11 male sterile, given its requirement for hybrid production and importance for improved plant breeding, as taught by Whitaker. Whitaker also teaches production of tissue culture of regenerable cells from a plant of line 33Y11, wherein regenerable cells are from tissues including flowers, pollen, ovules, among others; a plant produced from tissue culture of 33Y11 that is capable of expressing all of the morphological and physiological traits of 33Y11; corn plant breeding programs, including backcrossing, pedigree breeding, recurrent selection, among others; maize plants having as at least one ancestor 33Y11, or 33626 containing one or more transgenes, or 33Y11 containing one or more genes transferred by backcrossing; use of backcrossing to introduce gene(s) for desirable traits; 33Y11 comprising at least one transgene, and using the plant in maize breeding programs; maize plants produced by those breeding programs, 33Y11 comprising genes(s) introduced by backcrossing, and use of the plant in a method for developing a plant for breeding programs (col. 2, line 61 to col. 6, line 10; col. 34, line 2 to col. 43, line 67; claims). The claimed invention was prima facie obvious as a whole to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time it was made, if not anticipated by Whitaker.

8. No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1638

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Ashwin Mehta, whose telephone number is 703-306-4540. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays and alternate Fridays from 8:00 A.M to 5:30 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson, can be reached at 703-306-3218. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 and 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

ASHWIN D. MEHTA, PHLD
PATENT EXAMINER

June 24, 2002